VERTEX-ALGEBRAIC STRUCTURE OF THE PRINCIPAL SUBSPACES OF CERTAIN $A_1^{(1)}$-MODULES, I: LEVEL ONE CASE

C. CALINESCU, J. LEPOWSKY AND A. MILAS

ABSTRACT. This is the first in a series of papers in which we study vertex-algebraic structure of Feigin-Stoyanovsky's principal subspaces associated to standard modules for both untwisted and twisted affine Lie algebras. A key idea is to prove suitable presentations of principal subspaces, without using bases or even "small" spanning sets of these spaces. In this paper we prove presentations of the principal subspaces of the basic $A_1^{(1)}$-modules. These convenient presentations were previously used in work of Capparelli-Lepowsky-Milas for the purpose of obtaining the classical Rogers-Ramanujan recursion for the graded dimensions of the principal subspaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

One of the central problems in combinatorial representation theory is to find (combinatorial) bases of standard modules for affine Kac-Moody Lie algebras. Finding a basis of a standard module is closely related to finding a basis of the vacuum subspace for an appropriate Heisenberg algebra by means of vertex operators and "Z-algebras" (see in particular [LW1]–[LW4], [LP1]–[LP2], [MP1]–[MP2]).

In [FS1]–[FS2], Feigin and Stoyanovsky associated to every standard $A_n^{(1)}$-module $L(\Lambda)$ another distinguished subspace, the "principal subspace"

$$W(\Lambda) = U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}) \cdot v_\Lambda,$$

where $\mathfrak{n}$ is the nilradical of a Borel subalgebra of $\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)$,

$$\bar{\mathfrak{n}} = \mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}],$$

and Λ and v_Λ are the highest weight and a highest weight vector of $L(\Lambda)$. Of course, this definition extends to an arbitrary highest weight module for an affine Lie algebra. Compared to the vacuum subspaces of $L(\Lambda)$ for Heisenberg Lie algebras, the principal subspace $W(\Lambda)$ is a somewhat simpler object, yet interestingly enough it still carries a wealth of information about the standard module (see [FS1]–[FS3]). From the definition, $W(\Lambda)$ can be identified with the quotient

$$U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})/I_\Lambda,$$

where I_Λ is the kernel of the natural map

$$f_\Lambda : U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}) \longrightarrow W(\Lambda), \quad a \mapsto a \cdot v_\Lambda.$$

It is an important general problem to find a presentation of $W(\Lambda)$ (i.e., to precisely describe I_Λ), to compute the corresponding graded dimension of $W(\Lambda)$, and especially, from our point of view, to reveal new vertex-algebraic structure underlying such issues.

A central aim of this paper is to supply a new proof of the natural presentation of the principal subspaces $W(\Lambda_i)$ of the basic $A_1^{(1)}$-modules $L(\Lambda_i)$, $i = 0, 1$, established in [FS1], [FS2]. There are a number of proofs, all of which have essentially used detailed structure, such as bases, of the standard modules. One wants to know how to prove this result using less such structure. For a recent proof in this direction, see [Cal]. The presentation result was used in [CLM1] as a step in proving the classical Rogers-Ramanujan recursion for the graded dimensions of the spaces $W(\Lambda_i)$.

The proof of the presentation that we provide in this paper does not use any bases of the standard modules or of the principal subspaces, or even "small" spanning sets that turn out to be bases (that is, spanning sets whose monomials satisfy the difference-two condition on their indices), and so gives new insight into the program of obtaining Rogers-Ramanujan-type recursions initiated in [CLM1] (for level one modules) and [CLM2] (for higher level modules). In those papers, exact sequences among principal subspaces were built, yielding q-difference equations and in turn formulas for the graded dimensions of the principal subspaces. Vertex (operator) algebras and intertwining operators among standard modules were used, together with certain translations in the affine Weyl group of $\mathfrak{sl}(2)$. It turns out that we have been able to use these same ingredients in a new way, combined with the viewpoint of generalized Verma modules, to prove the presentation result by induction on conformal weight.

Our main results are described in Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, and in Theorem 4.1 we offer a reformulation of part of Theorem 2.2 using the notion of ideal of a vertex algebra.

The ideas and arguments presented here certainly generalize, as we will show in a series of forthcoming papers [CalLM1]–[CalLM3]; the paper [CalLM1] covers the case of the principal subspaces of the higher level standard $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(2)}$-modules, for which the Rogers-Selberg recursions were obtained in [CLM2].

2. Formulations of the main result

We use the setting of [CLM1] (cf. [FLM], [LL]). We shall work with the complex Lie algebra

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2) = \mathbb{C}x_{-\alpha} \oplus \mathbb{C}h \oplus \mathbb{C}x_\alpha,$$

with the brackets

$$[h, x_\alpha] = 2x_\alpha, \quad [h, x_{-\alpha}] = -2x_{-\alpha}, \quad [x_\alpha, x_{-\alpha}] = h.$$

Take the Cartan subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} = \mathbb{C}h$. The standard symmetric invariant bilinear form $\langle a, b \rangle = \mathrm{tr}\,(ab)$ for $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$ allows us to identify $\mathfrak{h}$ with its dual $\mathfrak{h}^*$. Take α to be the (positive) simple root corresponding to the root vector x_α. Then under our identifications,

$$h = \alpha$$

and

$$\langle \alpha, \alpha \rangle = 2.$$

The corresponding untwisted affine Lie algebra is

(2.1) $$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k},$$

with the bracket relations

$$(2.2) \qquad [a \otimes t^m, b \otimes t^n] = [a, b] \otimes t^{m+n} + m\langle a, b \rangle \delta_{m+n,0}\mathbf{k},$$

for $a, b \in \mathfrak{g}$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, together with the condition that $\mathbf{k}$ is a nonzero central element of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$. Let

$$\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathbb{C}d,$$

where d acts as follows:

$$[d, a \otimes t^n] = na \otimes t^n \ \text{ for } \ a \in \mathfrak{g}, \ n \in \mathbb{Z},$$
$$[d, \mathbf{k}] = 0.$$

Setting

$$\mathfrak{n} = \mathbb{C}x_\alpha,$$

consider the following subalgebras of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$:

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes t^0,$$
$$\bar{\mathfrak{n}} = \mathfrak{n} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}],$$
$$\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_- = \mathfrak{n} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]$$

and

$$\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -2} = \mathfrak{n} \otimes t^{-2}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}].$$

The Lie algebra $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ has the triangular decomposition

$$(2.3) \qquad \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = (\mathbb{C}x_{-\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]) \oplus (\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}) \oplus (\mathbb{C}x_\alpha \oplus \mathfrak{g} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t]).$$

It also has the triangular decomposition

$$(2.4) \qquad \widehat{\mathfrak{g}} = \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0} \oplus \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0},$$

where

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}]$$

and

$$\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0} = \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t] \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k}.$$

We consider the level 1 standard $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$-modules $L(\Lambda_0)$ and $L(\Lambda_1)$ (cf. [K]), where $\Lambda_0, \Lambda_1 \in (\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}\mathbf{k})^*$ are the fundamental weights of $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$ ($\langle \Lambda_i, \mathbf{k} \rangle = 1$, $\langle \Lambda_i, h \rangle = \delta_{i,1}$ for $i = 0, 1$). Denote by v_{Λ_i} the highest weight vectors of $L(\Lambda_i)$ used in [CLM1], namely,

$$(2.5) \qquad v_{\Lambda_0} = 1 \ \text{ and } \ v_{\Lambda_1} = e^{\alpha/2} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}.$$

(See Section 2 of [CLM1].)

The principal subspaces $W(\Lambda_i)$ of $L(\Lambda_i)$ are defined by

$$(2.6) \qquad W(\Lambda_i) = U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_i}$$

for $i = 0, 1$ in [FS1]. By the highest weight vector property we have

$$(2.7) \qquad W(\Lambda_i) = U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-) \cdot v_{\Lambda_i}.$$

Now we set

$$(2.8) \qquad W(\Lambda_1)' = U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -2}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}.$$

Then

$$(2.9) \qquad W(\Lambda_1)' = W(\Lambda_1)$$

because $(x_\alpha \otimes t^{-1}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1} = 0$ (recall (2.2)).

For $i = 0, 1$ consider the surjective maps

$$(2.10) \qquad \begin{aligned} F_{\Lambda_i} : U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) &\longrightarrow L(\Lambda_i) \\ a &\longmapsto a \cdot v_{\Lambda_i}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us restrict F_{Λ_i} to $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)$ and F_{Λ_1} to $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -2})$ and denote these restrictions by f_{Λ_i} and f'_{Λ_1}:

$$(2.11) \qquad \begin{aligned} f_{\Lambda_i} : U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-) &\longrightarrow W(\Lambda_i) \\ a &\longmapsto a \cdot v_{\Lambda_i}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(2.12) \qquad \begin{aligned} f'_{\Lambda_1} : U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -2}) &\longrightarrow W(\Lambda_1)' \\ a &\longmapsto a \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}. \end{aligned}$$

Our main goal is to describe the kernels Ker f_{Λ_0}, Ker f_{Λ_1} and Ker f'_{Λ_1}. We proceed to do this.

Throughout the rest of the paper we will write $a(m)$ for the action of $a \otimes t^m$ on any $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module, where $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ (recall [CLM1]). In particular, we have the operator $x_\alpha(m)$, the image of $x_\alpha \otimes t^m$. We consider the following formal infinite sums indexed by $t \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$(2.13) \qquad R_t = \sum_{m_1+m_2=-t} x_\alpha(m_1)x_\alpha(m_2).$$

For each t, R_t acts naturally on any highest weight $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module and, in particular, on $L(\Lambda_i)$, $i = 1, 2$.

In order to describe Ker f_{Λ_i} and Ker f'_{Λ_1} we shall truncate each R_t as follows:

$$(2.14) \qquad R_t^0 = \sum_{m_1,m_2 \leq -1,\ m_1+m_2=-t} x_\alpha(m_1)x_\alpha(m_2), \quad t \geq 2.$$

We shall often be viewing R_t^0 as an element of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$, and in fact of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)$, rather than as an endomorphism of a module such as $L(\Lambda_i)$. In general it will be clear from the context when expressions such as (2.14) are understood as elements of a universal enveloping algebra or as operators. It will also be convenient to take $m_1, m_2 \leq -2$ in (2.13), to obtain other elements of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$, which we denote by R_t^1:

$$(2.15) \qquad R_t^1 = \sum_{m_1,m_2 \leq -2,\ m_1+m_2=-t} x_\alpha(m_1)x_\alpha(m_2), \quad t \geq 4.$$

One can view $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)$ and $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -2})$ as the polynomial algebras

$$(2.16) \qquad U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-) = \mathbb{C}[x_\alpha(-1), x_\alpha(-2), \dots]$$

and

$$(2.17) \qquad U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -2}) = \mathbb{C}[x_\alpha(-2), x_\alpha(-3), \dots].$$

Then

$$(2.18) \qquad U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-) = U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -2}) \oplus U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)x_\alpha(-1)$$

and we have the corresponding projection

$$(2.19) \qquad \rho : U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-) \longrightarrow U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -2}).$$

Notice that

$$(2.20) \qquad R_t^1 = \rho(R_t^0).$$

Set

$$(2.21) \qquad I_{\Lambda_0} = \sum_{t \geq 2} U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-) R_t^0 \subset U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)$$

and

$$(2.22) \qquad I_{\Lambda_1} = \sum_{t \geq 2} U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-) R_t^0 + U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-) x_\alpha(-1) \subset U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-).$$

Observe that (2.22) can be written as

$$(2.23) \qquad I_{\Lambda_1} = \sum_{t \geq 4} U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-) R_t^1 + U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-) x_\alpha(-1).$$

Remark 2.1. Note that

$$(2.24) \qquad I_{\Lambda_0} \subset I_{\Lambda_1},$$

and in fact

$$(2.25) \qquad I_{\Lambda_1} = I_{\Lambda_0} + U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-) x_\alpha(-1).$$

Also set

$$(2.26) \qquad I'_{\Lambda_1} = \sum_{t \geq 4} U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -2}) R_t^1 \subset U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -2}).$$

Observe that

$$(2.27) \qquad \rho(I_{\Lambda_1}) = I'_{\Lambda_1},$$

and in fact,

$$(2.28) \qquad I_{\Lambda_1} = I'_{\Lambda_1} \oplus U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-) x_\alpha(-1).$$

It is well known ([B], [FLM]) that there is a natural vertex operator algebra structure on $L(\Lambda_0)$ with a vertex operator map

$$(2.29) \qquad \begin{aligned} Y(\cdot, x) : L(\Lambda_0) &\longrightarrow \text{End } L(\Lambda_0) \, [[x, x^{-1}]] \\ v &\mapsto Y(v, x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} v_m x^{-m-1}, \end{aligned}$$

which satisfies certain conditions, and with v_{Λ_0} as vacuum vector. In particular, we have

$$(2.30) \qquad Y(e^\alpha, x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} x_\alpha(m) x^{-m-1},$$

where e^α is viewed as an element of $L(\Lambda_0)$. It is also well known that $L(\Lambda_1)$ has a natural $L(\Lambda_0)$-module structure.

The vector spaces $L(\Lambda_i)$ are graded with respect to a standard action of the Virasoro algebra operator $L(0)$, usually referred to as grading by *conformal weight*. For m an integer,

$$(2.31) \qquad \text{wt } x_\alpha(m) = -m,$$

where $x_\alpha(m)$ is viewed as either an operator or as an element of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$. For any element λ of the weight lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$ we have

$$\text{(2.32)} \qquad\qquad \text{wt } e^\lambda = \frac{1}{2}\langle\lambda, \lambda\rangle$$

(cf. [CLM1]). In particular,

$$\text{(2.33)} \qquad\qquad \text{wt } v_{\Lambda_0} = 0 \quad\text{and}\quad \text{wt } v_{\Lambda_1} = \frac{1}{4}$$

(recall (2.5)).

The vector spaces $L(\Lambda_i)$ have also a grading given by the eigenvalues of the operator $\frac{1}{2}\alpha(0) = \frac{1}{2}h(0)$, called the grading by *charge*. This is compatible with the grading by conformal weight. For any $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $x_\alpha(m)$, viewed as either an operator or as an element of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$, has charge 1. Also, $e^{\alpha/2}$, viewed as either an operator or as an element of $L(\Lambda_1)$, has charge $\frac{1}{2}$. We shall consider these gradings restricted to the principal subspaces $W(\Lambda_i)$. For any $m_1, \ldots, m_k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$\text{(2.34)} \qquad\qquad x_\alpha(m_1)\cdots x_\alpha(m_k) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} \in W(\Lambda_0)$$

and

$$\text{(2.35)} \qquad\qquad x_\alpha(m_1)\cdots x_\alpha(m_k) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1} \in W(\Lambda_1)$$

have weights $-m_1 - \cdots - m_k$ and $-m_1 - \cdots - m_k + \frac{1}{4}$, respectively. Their charges are k and $k + \frac{1}{2}$, respectively. See Section 2 of [CLM1] for further details, background and notation.

Remark 2.2. It is clear that

$$L(0) \text{ Ker } f_{\Lambda_i} \subset \text{Ker } f_{\Lambda_i}$$

for $i = 0, 1$ and

$$L(0) \text{ Ker } f'_{\Lambda_1} \subset \text{Ker } f'_{\Lambda_1}.$$

Also, R_t^0 and R_t^1 have conformal weight t:

$$L(0)R_t^0 = tR_t^0 \quad\text{for all}\quad t \geq 2$$

and

$$L(0)R_t^1 = tR_t^1 \quad\text{for all}\quad t \geq 4.$$

In particular, the subspaces I_{Λ_0}, I_{Λ_1} and I'_{Λ_1} are $L(0)$-stable. We also have that R_t^0 and R_t^1 have charge 2, and the spaces Ker f_{Λ_i}, Ker f'_{Λ_1}, I_{Λ_i} and I'_{Λ_1} for $i = 0, 1$ are graded by charge. Hence these spaces are graded by both weight and charge, and the two gradings are compatible.

We will prove the following result describing the kernels of f_{Λ_i} and f'_{Λ_1} (recall (2.18) and (2.28)):

Theorem 2.1. *We have*

$$\text{(2.36)} \qquad\qquad \text{Ker } f_{\Lambda_0} = I_{\Lambda_0}, \quad\text{and also,}\quad \text{Ker } f'_{\Lambda_1} = I'_{\Lambda_1},$$

or equivalently,

$$\text{(2.37)} \qquad\qquad \text{Ker } f_{\Lambda_1} = I_{\Lambda_1}.$$

We will actually prove a restatement of this assertion (see Theorem 2.2 below). For this reason we need to introduce the generalized Verma modules, in the sense of [L1], [GL], [L2], for $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$, as well as what we shall call the principal subspaces of these generalized Verma modules.

The generalized Verma module $N(\Lambda_0)$ is defined as the induced $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module

$$(2.38) \qquad N(\Lambda_0) = U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0})} \mathbb{C}v_{\Lambda_0}^N,$$

where $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t]$ acts trivially and $\mathbf{k}$ acts as the scalar 1 on $\mathbb{C}v_{\Lambda_0}^N$; $v_{\Lambda_0}^N$ is a highest weight vector. From the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem we have

$$(2.39) \qquad N(\Lambda_0) = U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0}) \otimes_{U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0})} \mathbb{C}v_{\Lambda_0}^N = U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}v_{\Lambda_0}^N = U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}),$$

with the natural identifications. Similarly define the generalized Verma module

$$N(\Lambda_1) = U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) \otimes_{U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{\geq 0})} U,$$

where U is a two-dimensional irreducible $\mathfrak{g}$-module with a highest-weight vector $v_{\Lambda_1}^N$, and where $\mathfrak{g} \otimes t\mathbb{C}[t]$ acts trivially and $\mathbf{k}$ by 1. By the Poincaré-Birkhoff-Witt theorem we have the identification

$$N(\Lambda_1) = U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} U.$$

For $i = 0, 1$ we have the natural surjective $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module maps

$$(2.40) \qquad \begin{array}{rcl} F_{\Lambda_i}^N : U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}) & \longrightarrow & N(\Lambda_i) \\ a & \mapsto & a \cdot v_{\Lambda_i}^N \end{array}$$

(cf. (2.10)).

Remark 2.3. The restriction of (2.40) to $U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0})$ is a $U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0})$-module isomorphism for $i = 0$ and a $U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}_{<0})$-module injection for $i = 1$.

Set

$$(2.41) \qquad W^N(\Lambda_i) = U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_i}^N,$$

an $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$-submodule of $N(\Lambda_i)$ (cf. (2.6)). We shall call $W^N(\Lambda_i)$ the *principal subspace* of the generalized Verma module $N(\Lambda_i)$. By the highest weight vector property we have

$$(2.42) \qquad W^N(\Lambda_i) = U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-) \cdot v_{\Lambda_i}^N.$$

Also consider the subspace

$$(2.43) \qquad W^N(\Lambda_1)' = U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -2}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N$$

of $W^N(\Lambda_1)$.

Remark 2.4. In view of Remark 2.3, the maps

$$(2.44) \qquad \begin{array}{rcl} U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-) & \longrightarrow & W^N(\Lambda_i) \\ a & \mapsto & a \cdot v_{\Lambda_i}^N \end{array}$$

are $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-$-module isomorphisms and the map

$$(2.45) \qquad \begin{array}{rcl} U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -2}) & \longrightarrow & W^N(\Lambda_1)' \\ a & \mapsto & a \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N \end{array}$$

is an $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -2}$-module isomorphism.

In particular, we have the natural identifications

$$(2.46) \qquad W^N(\Lambda_1)' \simeq W^N(\Lambda_1)/U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)x_\alpha(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N \simeq U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -2}),$$

in view of (2.18).

We have the natural surjective $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module maps

$$(2.47) \qquad \begin{aligned} \Pi_{\Lambda_i} : N(\Lambda_i) &\longrightarrow L(\Lambda_i) \\ a \cdot v_{\Lambda_i}^N &\longmapsto a \cdot v_{\Lambda_i} \end{aligned}$$

for $a \in \widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$, $i = 0, 1$. Set

$$(2.48) \qquad N^1(\Lambda_i) = \mathrm{Ker}\, \Pi_{\Lambda_i}.$$

The restrictions of Π_{Λ_i} to $W^N(\Lambda_i)$ (respectively, $W^N(\Lambda_1)'$) are $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$-module (respectively, $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -2}$-module) surjections:

$$(2.49) \qquad \pi_{\Lambda_i} : W^N(\Lambda_i) \longrightarrow W(\Lambda_i)$$

for $i = 0, 1$ and

$$(2.50) \qquad \pi'_{\Lambda_1} : W^N(\Lambda_1)' \longrightarrow W(\Lambda_1)$$

(recall (2.9)).

As in the case of $L(\Lambda_i)$, there are natural actions of the Virasoro algebra operator $L(0)$ on $N(\Lambda_i)$ for $i = 0, 1$, giving gradings by conformal weight, and these spaces are also compatibly graded by charge, by means of the operator $\frac{1}{2}\alpha(0) = \frac{1}{2}h(0)$. We shall restrict these gradings to the principal subspaces $W^N(\Lambda_i)$. The elements of $W^N(\Lambda_i)$ given by (2.34) and (2.35) with v_{Λ_i} replaced by $v_{\Lambda_i}^N$ have the same weights and charges as in those cases.

Remark 2.5. Since the maps π_{Λ_i}, $i = 0, 1$, and π'_{Λ_1} commute with the actions of $L(0)$, the kernels Ker π_{Λ_i} and Ker π'_{Λ_1} are $L(0)$-stable. These maps preserve charge as well, so that Ker π_{Λ_i} and Ker π'_{Λ_1} are also graded by charge.

In view of Remark 2.3, the following assertion is equivalent to that of Theorem 2.1:

Theorem 2.2. *We have*

$$(2.51) \qquad \mathrm{Ker}\, \pi_{\Lambda_0} = I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \quad (\subset N^1(\Lambda_0)).$$

Moreover,

$$(2.52) \qquad \mathrm{Ker}\, \pi'_{\Lambda_1} = I'_{\Lambda_1} \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N \quad (\subset N^1(\Lambda_1)),$$

or equivalently,

$$(2.53) \qquad \mathrm{Ker}\, \pi_{\Lambda_1} = I_{\Lambda_1} \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N \quad (\subset N^1(\Lambda_1)).$$

3. Proof of the main result

Continuing to use the setting of [CLM1], we have

$$V_P = L(\Lambda_0) \oplus L(\Lambda_1).$$

Consider the linear isomorphism

$$(3.1) \qquad e^{\alpha/2} : V_P \longrightarrow V_P.$$

Its restriction to the principal subspace $W(\Lambda_0)$ of $L(\Lambda_0)$ is

(3.2) $$e^{\alpha/2} : W(\Lambda_0) \longrightarrow W(\Lambda_1).$$

We have

(3.3) $$e^{\alpha/2}\, x_\alpha(m) = x_\alpha(m-1)\, e^{\alpha/2}$$

on V_P for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and

(3.4) $$e^{\alpha/2} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} = v_{\Lambda_1},$$

so that

(3.5) $$e^{\alpha/2}\, (x_\alpha(m_1) \cdots x_\alpha(m_k) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}) = x_\alpha(m_1 - 1) \cdots x_\alpha(m_k - 1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}$$

for any $m_1, \ldots, m_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then in particular, (3.2) is a linear isomorphism.

We shall follow [CLM1] to construct a lifting

(3.6) $$\widehat{e^{\alpha/2}} : W^N(\Lambda_0) \longrightarrow W^N(\Lambda_1)'$$

of

$$e^{\alpha/2} : W(\Lambda_0) \longrightarrow W(\Lambda_1)$$

in the sense that the following diagram will commute:

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
W^N(\Lambda_0) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{\widehat{e^{\alpha/2}}} & W^N(\Lambda_1)' \\[4pt]
\pi_{\Lambda_0} \Big\downarrow & & \pi'_{\Lambda_1} \Big\downarrow \\[4pt]
W(\Lambda_0) & \xrightarrow[\sim]{e^{\alpha/2}} & W(\Lambda_1).
\end{array}
$$

However, here we shall use our current notation, which involves generalized Verma modules and principal subspaces of these modules.

For any integers $m_1, \ldots, m_k < 0$ we set

(3.7) $$\widehat{e^{\alpha/2}}\, (x_\alpha(m_1) \cdots x_\alpha(m_k) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N) = x_\alpha(m_1 - 1) \cdots x_\alpha(m_k - 1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N,$$

which is well defined, since $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)$, viewed as the polynomial algebra

$$\mathbb{C}[x_\alpha(-1), x_\alpha(-2), \ldots],$$

maps isomorphically onto $W^N(\Lambda_0)$ under the map (2.44). Since

$$W^N(\Lambda_1)' = U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -2}) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N = \widehat{e^{\alpha/2}}\, (U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N),$$

the linear map $\widehat{e^{\alpha/2}}$ is surjective. By Remark 2.4 it is also injective. Denote by

(3.8) $$(\widehat{e^{\alpha/2}})^{-1} = \widehat{e^{-\alpha/2}} : W^N(\Lambda_1)' \longrightarrow W^N(\Lambda_0)$$

its inverse. Then $\widehat{e^{\alpha/2}}$ is indeed a lifting of $e^{\alpha/2}$ as desired, and $\widehat{e^{-\alpha/2}}$ is correspondingly a lifting of the inverse

(3.9) $$e^{-\alpha/2} : W(\Lambda_1) \longrightarrow W(\Lambda_0).$$

Lemma 3.1. *We have*

(3.10) $$\widehat{e^{\alpha/2}}\, (I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N) = I'_{\Lambda_1} \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N.$$

Proof: For any $t \geq 2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widehat{e^{\alpha/2}} \left(R_t^0 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \right) &= \widehat{e^{\alpha/2}} \left(\sum_{m_1, m_2 \leq -1, \, m_1 + m_2 = -t} x_\alpha(m_1) x_\alpha(m_2) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \right) \\
&= \sum_{m_1, m_2 \leq -1, \, m_1 + m_2 = -t} x_\alpha(m_1 - 1) x_\alpha(m_2 - 1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N = R_{t+2}^1 \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N.
\end{aligned}
$$

In view of the definition of the map $\widehat{e^{\alpha/2}}$ and of the descriptions (2.21) and (2.26) of the ideals I_{Λ_0} and I_{Λ_1}' we have (3.10). $\quad \square$

Now we restrict (3.1) to the principal subspace $W(\Lambda_1)$ and obtain

$$(3.11) \qquad\qquad e^{\alpha/2} : W(\Lambda_1) \longrightarrow W(\Lambda_0),$$

which is only an injection. Since

$$(3.12) \qquad\qquad e^{\alpha/2} \cdot v_{\Lambda_1} = e^\alpha \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} = x_\alpha(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}$$

(recall (3.4) and [CLM1]), we have, using (3.3),

$$(3.13) \qquad e^{\alpha/2} \left(x_\alpha(m_1) \cdots x_\alpha(m_k) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1} \right) = x_\alpha(m_1 - 1) \cdots x_\alpha(m_k - 1) x_\alpha(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}$$

for any $m_1, \ldots, m_k \in \mathbb{Z}$. As above, we construct a natural lifting

$$(3.14) \qquad\qquad \widehat{e^{\alpha/2}} : W^N(\Lambda_1)' \longrightarrow W^N(\Lambda_0)$$

of the map (3.11), making the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
W^N(\Lambda_1)' & \xrightarrow{\widehat{e^{\alpha/2}}} & W^N(\Lambda_0) \\
\pi_{\Lambda_1}' \downarrow & & \pi_{\Lambda_0} \downarrow \\
W(\Lambda_1) & \xrightarrow{e^{\alpha/2}} & W(\Lambda_0)
\end{array}
$$

commute, by taking

$$(3.15) \qquad \widehat{e^{\alpha/2}} \left(x_\alpha(m_1) \cdots x_\alpha(m_k) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N \right) = x_\alpha(m_1 - 1) \cdots x_\alpha(m_k - 1) x_\alpha(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$$

for $m_1, \ldots, m_k \leq -2$. Then, like (3.11), the map (3.14) is an injection and not a surjection.

Lemma 3.2. *We have*

$$(3.16) \qquad\qquad \widehat{e^{\alpha/2}} \left(I_{\Lambda_1}' \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N \right) \subset I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N.$$

Proof: As in the proof of the previous lemma, we calculate that for any integer $t \geq 4$,

$$\widehat{e^{\alpha/2}} \left(R_t^1 \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N \right) = R_{t+2}^0 x_\alpha(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N - x_\alpha(-t) R_3^0 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N - 2 x_\alpha(-t-1) R_2^0 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N,$$

which proves our lemma. $\quad \square$

Our next goal is to prove the main result, Theorem 2.1, or equivalently, Theorem 2.2. It is sufficient to prove (2.51) and (2.52).

We notice first that

$$(3.17) \qquad\qquad I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \subset \operatorname{Ker} \pi_{\Lambda_0} \quad \text{and} \quad I_{\Lambda_1}' \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N \subset \operatorname{Ker} \pi_{\Lambda_1}'.$$

Indeed, as is well known, the square of the vertex operator $Y(e^\alpha, x)$ is well defined (the components $x_\alpha(m)$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, of this vertex operator commute) and equals zero on $L(\Lambda_i)$, and in particular on $W(\Lambda_i)$, for $i = 1, 2$. The expansion coefficients of $Y(e^\alpha, x)^2$ are the operators R_{-t}, $t \in \mathbb{Z}$:

$$
(3.18) \qquad Y(e^\alpha, x)^2 = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{m_1 + m_2 = t} x_\alpha(m_1) x_\alpha(m_2) \right) x^{-t-2}
$$

(recall (2.13) and (2.30)), and this proves (3.17).

Now we define a shift, or translation, automorphism

$$
(3.19) \qquad \tau : U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}) \longrightarrow U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})
$$

by

$$
\tau(x_\alpha(m_1) \cdots x_\alpha(m_k)) = x_\alpha(m_1 - 1) \cdots x_\alpha(m_k - 1)
$$

for any integers $m_1, \ldots, m_k$; since $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[x_\alpha(m), \ m \in \mathbb{Z}]$, the map (3.19) is well defined. For any integer s, the sth power

$$
(3.20) \qquad \tau^s : U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}) \longrightarrow U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})
$$

is given by

$$
\tau^s(x_\alpha(m_1) \cdots x_\alpha(m_k)) = x_\alpha(m_1 - s) \cdots x_\alpha(m_k - s).
$$

Remark 3.1. Assume that $a \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$ is a nonzero element homogeneous with respect to both the weight and charge gradings. We also assume that a has positive charge, so that a is a linear combination of monomials of a fixed positive charge (degree). Then $\tau^s(a)$ has the same properties, and

$$
(3.21) \qquad \text{wt } \tau^s(a) > \text{wt } a \quad \text{for} \quad s > 0
$$

and

$$
(3.22) \qquad \text{wt } \tau^s(a) < \text{wt } a \quad \text{for} \quad s < 0,
$$

by (2.31). If a is a constant, that is, a has charge zero, then of course

$$
(3.23) \qquad \tau^s(a) = a
$$

and $\tau^s(a)$ and a have the same weight and charge.

We also have:

Remark 3.2. Using the map τ we can re-express (3.2), (3.6), (3.11) and (3.14) as follows:

$$
(3.24) \qquad e^{\alpha/2}(a \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}) = \tau(a) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}, \quad a \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}),
$$

$$
(3.25) \qquad \widehat{e^{\alpha/2}}(a \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N) = \tau(a) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N, \quad a \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-),
$$

$$
(3.26) \qquad e^{\alpha/2}(a \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}) = \tau(a) x_\alpha(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}, \quad a \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})
$$

and

$$
(3.27) \qquad \widehat{e^{\alpha/2}}(a \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N) = \tau(a) x_\alpha(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N, \quad a \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -2})
$$

(recall (3.5), (3.7), (3.13) and (3.15)). The corresponding inverse maps of course involve τ^{-1}.

Lemma 3.3. *We have*

$$\tau(I_{\Lambda_0}) \subset I_{\Lambda_0} + U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)x_\alpha(-1) = I_{\Lambda_1}.$$

Proof: Let $t \geq 2$. Then

$$\tau(R_t^0) = R_{t+2}^0 - 2x_\alpha(-t-1)x_\alpha(-1). \quad \square$$

Recall from [CLM1] the role of intertwining vertex operators among triples of the $L(\Lambda_0)$-modules $L(\Lambda_0)$ and $L(\Lambda_1)$, and the role of certain terms and factors of these intertwining operators. We will use the constant term of the intertwining operator $\mathcal{Y}(e^{\alpha/2}, x)$ of type

$$\begin{pmatrix} L(\Lambda_1) \\ L(\Lambda_1) \quad L(\Lambda_0) \end{pmatrix},$$

and denote it by $\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\alpha/2}, x)$. This is the operator denoted by $o(e^{\alpha/2})$ in Theorem 4.1 of [CLM1]. Then

(3.28) $$\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\alpha/2}, x) : W(\Lambda_0) \longrightarrow W(\Lambda_1),$$

it sends v_{Λ_0} to v_{Λ_1}, and it commutes with the action of $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ (see the beginning of the proof of Theorem 4.1 in [CLM1]).

Proof of Theorem 2.2: In view of (3.17), it is sufficient to prove

(3.29) $$\operatorname{Ker} \pi_{\Lambda_0} \subset I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Ker} \pi'_{\Lambda_1} \subset I'_{\Lambda_1} \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N.$$

First we show that (2.52) follows from (2.51), whose truth we now assume. Let $a \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N \in \operatorname{Ker} \pi'_{\Lambda_1}$, where $a \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -2})$, so that $a \cdot v_{\Lambda_1} = 0$ in $W(\Lambda_1)$. By (3.24) we have $\tau^{-1}(a) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} = 0$ in $W(\Lambda_0)$, and so

$$\tau^{-1}(a) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \in \operatorname{Ker} \pi_{\Lambda_0} = I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$$

by assumption. Thus

$$\widehat{e^{\alpha/2}} \left(\tau^{-1}(a) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \right) \in \widehat{e^{\alpha/2}} \left(I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \right),$$

and by (3.25) and Lemma 3.1 we obtain

$$a \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N \in I'_{\Lambda_1} \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N.$$

Thus we have the inclusion $\operatorname{Ker} \pi'_{\Lambda_1} \subset I'_{\Lambda_1} \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N$, and so we have (2.52).

It remains to prove

(3.30) $$\operatorname{Ker} \pi_{\Lambda_0} \subset I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N.$$

We will prove by contradiction that any element of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$ that lies in $\operatorname{Ker} \pi_{\Lambda_0}$ lies in $I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$ as well. Suppose then that there exists $a \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)$ such that

(3.31) $$a \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \in \operatorname{Ker} \pi_{\Lambda_0} \quad \text{but} \quad a \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \notin I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N.$$

By Remarks 2.2 and 2.5 we may and do assume that a is homogeneous with respect to the weight and charge gradings. Note that a is nonzero and in fact nonconstant, and so it has positive weight and charge. We choose such an element a of smallest possible weight that satisfies (3.31).

We claim that there is in fact an element lying in $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)x_\alpha(-1)$ and in addition having all of the properties of a.

By (2.18) we have a unique decomposition $a = bx_\alpha(-1) + c$ with $b \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)$ and $c \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -2})$. The elements b and c are homogeneous with respect to the weight and charge gradings. In fact,

(3.32) $$\operatorname{wt} b = \operatorname{wt} a - 1, \quad \operatorname{wt} c = \operatorname{wt} a,$$

and similarly, the charge of b is one less than that of a and the charges of c and a are equal. We have

$$(3.33) \qquad \tau^{-1}(a) = \tau^{-1}(b)x_\alpha(0) + \tau^{-1}(c)$$

with

$$(3.34) \qquad \tau^{-1}(c) \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-).$$

Since $a \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)$ and

$$a \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} = 0,$$

by applying the linear map $\mathcal{Y}_c(e^{\alpha/2}, x)$ and using its properties (recall (3.28)) we have

$$(3.35) \qquad a \cdot v_{\Lambda_1} = 0;$$

what we have just observed is that

$$(3.36) \qquad \mathrm{Ker}\, f_{\Lambda_0} \subset \mathrm{Ker}\, f_{\Lambda_1}$$

(recall the notation (2.11)). By (3.35) and (3.24) we obtain

$$\tau^{-1}(a) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} = 0 \ \text{ in } \ W(\Lambda_0),$$

and so

$$(3.37) \qquad \tau^{-1}(a) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \in \mathrm{Ker}\, \pi_{\Lambda_0};$$

this shows that if u lies in $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})$ (not necessarily in $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)$), then

$$(3.38) \qquad u \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N \in \mathrm{Ker}\, \pi_{\Lambda_1} \Rightarrow \tau^{-1}(u) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \in \mathrm{Ker}\, \pi_{\Lambda_0}.$$

But (3.33) and (3.37) imply

$$(3.39) \qquad \tau^{-1}(c) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \in \mathrm{Ker}\, \pi_{\Lambda_0}.$$

We now show that

$$(3.40) \qquad \tau^{-1}(c) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \in I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N.$$

If (3.40) did not hold, then since $\tau^{-1}(c) \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)$ is doubly homogeneous and

$$\mathrm{wt}\, \tau^{-1}(c) < \mathrm{wt}\, c = \mathrm{wt}\, a$$

(recall (3.22) and (3.32)), we would be contradicting our assumption that $a \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)$ is doubly homogeneous of smallest possible weight satisfying (3.31). Hence (3.40) holds, and from (3.34) and Remark 2.4 we obtain

$$\tau^{-1}(c) \in I_{\Lambda_0}.$$

Now Lemma 3.3 applied to $\tau^{-1}(c)$ implies

$$(3.41) \qquad c \in I_{\Lambda_0} + U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)x_\alpha(-1).$$

In view of Remark 2.2 we have $c = d+e$, where $d \in I_{\Lambda_0}$ and $e \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)x_\alpha(-1)$ are homogeneous with respect to both gradings and in fact $\mathrm{wt}\, d = \mathrm{wt}\, e = \mathrm{wt}\, c = \mathrm{wt}\, a$, and similarly for charge. Now $a = (bx_\alpha(-1) + e) + d$, and since $d \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \in I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \subset \mathrm{Ker}\, \pi_{\Lambda_0}$ and $a \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$ satisfies (3.31), we have

$$(3.42) \qquad (bx_\alpha(-1) + e) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \in \mathrm{Ker}\, \pi_{\Lambda_0} \quad \text{but} \quad (bx_\alpha(-1) + e) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \notin I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N.$$

Thus we have proved our claim, by means of the doubly homogeneous element $bx_\alpha(-1) + e \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)x_\alpha(-1)$, which has the same weight as a.

In view of the claim, all we have left to do is to show that there cannot exist an element $a \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)x_\alpha(-1)$ satisfying (3.31), homogeneous with respect to both the weight and charge gradings, and of smallest possible weight among all the elements of $U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)$ satisfying (3.31).

Take such an element a. We may in fact assume that

$$a = bx_\alpha(-1) \text{ with } b \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -3}),$$

where $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -3}$ is the Lie subalgebra of $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-$ defined in the obvious way. Indeed, we have the decomposition

$$U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-) = U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -3}) \oplus (U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)x_\alpha(-2) + U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)x_\alpha(-1))$$

into doubly-graded subspaces, and both $x_\alpha(-1)^2 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$ and $x_\alpha(-2)x_\alpha(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$ are in $I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$.

Note that since $b \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -3})$,

$$(3.43) \qquad\qquad \tau^{-1}(b) \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_{\leq -2}) \text{ and } \tau^{-2}(b) \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-).$$

We also note that

$$(3.44) \qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad \text{wt } b = \text{wt } a - 1$$

and that the charge of b is one less than that of a.

Since $a \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N = bx_\alpha(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \in \text{Ker } \pi_{\Lambda_0}$, we have $bx_\alpha(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} = 0$ in $W(\Lambda_0)$. Then by the second equality in (3.12) we have

$$be^\alpha \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} = 0 \text{ in } W(\Lambda_0).$$

By using (3.3) twice we obtain

$$\tau^{-2}(b) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0} = 0 \text{ in } W(\Lambda_0),$$

so that

$$(3.45) \qquad\qquad \tau^{-2}(b) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \in \text{Ker } \pi_{\Lambda_0} \text{ in } W^N(\Lambda_0).$$

On the other hand,

$$(3.46) \qquad\qquad\qquad \tau^{-2}(b) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \notin I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N.$$

Indeed, if $\tau^{-2}(b) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \in I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$, then

$$\widehat{e^{\alpha/2}} \, (\widehat{e^{\alpha/2}} \, (\tau^{-2}(b) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N)) \in \widehat{e^{\alpha/2}} \, (\widehat{e^{\alpha/2}} \, (I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N)) \subset I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$$

by Lemmas 3.1 and 3.2. However, by (3.25), (3.27) and (3.43), we have

$$\widehat{e^{\alpha/2}} \, (\widehat{e^{\alpha/2}} \, (\tau^{-2}(b) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N)) = \widehat{e^{\alpha/2}} \, (\tau^{-1}(b) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N) = bx_\alpha(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N = a \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N.$$

Thus we obtain $a \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \in I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$, contradicting (3.31). This proves (3.46).

But

$$(3.47) \qquad\qquad \text{wt } \tau^{-2}(b) \leq \text{wt } b = \text{wt } a - 1 < \text{wt } a$$

(recall (3.22) in case b has positive charge and (3.23) when b is a constant, and also (3.44)). Thus we have constructed a doubly homogeneous element, namely, $\tau^{-2}(b) \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)$, of weight less than that of a and satisfying (3.31). This contradiction proves our theorem and hence Theorem 2.1 as well. $\square$

Remark 3.3. As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1, we observe that any nonzero doubly homogeneous element $a \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-)$ such that $a \in \text{Ker } f_{\Lambda_0} = I_{\Lambda_0}$ has charge at least 2; that is, there are no nonzero linear elements $x_\alpha(m)$ in Ker f_{Λ_0}, $m \leq -1$. Similarly, there are no nonzero linear elements $x_\alpha(m)$ in Ker f'_{Λ_1} for $m \leq -2$. We observe similarly that any homogeneous element of charge 2 that lies in Ker f_{Λ_0} (respectively, Ker f'_{Λ_1}) is a multiple of R_t^0 for some $t \geq 2$ (respectively, R_t^1 for some $t \geq 4$).

4. A FURTHER REFORMULATION

In this section we shall present a reformulation of formula (2.51) of Theorem 2.2 in terms of ideals of vertex algebras. We shall refer to [LL] for definitions and results regarding ideals of vertex (operator) algebras and regarding vertex operator algebra and module structure on generalized Verma modules for $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$.

It is known that $N(\Lambda_0)$ carries a natural structure of vertex operator algebra, with a vertex operator map

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y(\cdot, x) : N(\Lambda_0) &\longrightarrow \text{End } N(\Lambda_0)[[x, x^{-1}]] \\
v &\mapsto Y(v, x) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} v_m x^{-m-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

satisfying certain conditions, with $v_{\Lambda_0}^N$ as vacuum vector, and with a suitable conformal vector (see Theorem 6.2.18 in [LL]). The conformal vector gives rise to the Virasoro algebra operators $L(m)$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, in the standard way. Recall $L(0)$ from Section 2. Here we use the Virasoro algebra operator $L(-1)$, which acts as a linear operator on $N(\Lambda_0)$ such that

$$
(4.1) \qquad\qquad\qquad L(-1)v_{\Lambda_0}^N = 0
$$

and

$$
(4.2) \qquad\qquad\qquad [L(-1), Y(v, x)] = \frac{d}{dx} Y(v, x)
$$

(cf. [LL]). Formula (4.2) gives

$$
(4.3) \qquad\qquad [L(-1), v_m] = -m v_{m-1} \quad \text{for} \quad v \in N(\Lambda_0), \ m \in \mathbb{Z}.
$$

The vector space $N(\Lambda_1)$ has a natural module structure for the vertex operator algebra $N(\Lambda_0)$, as described in Theorem 6.2.21 of [LL]. Formulas (4.2) and (4.3) hold on $N(\Lambda_1)$ as well. Standard arguments show that $W^N(\Lambda_0)$ is a vertex subalgebra of $N(\Lambda_0)$ (cf. the last part of the proof of Proposition 4.2 below); $W^N(\Lambda_0)$ does not contain the conformal vector of $N(\Lambda_0)$. Moreover, $W^N(\Lambda_1)$ is a $W^N(\Lambda_0)$-submodule of $N(\Lambda_1)$. Also, $L(0)$ preserves $W^N(\Lambda_i)$ for $i = 0, 1$, and $L(-1)$ preserves $W^N(\Lambda_0)$.

Recall from (2.47) and (2.48) the natural surjective $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$-module maps Π_{Λ_i}, $i = 0, 1$, and their kernels $N^1(\Lambda_i)$. Then $N^1(\Lambda_i)$ is the unique maximal proper ($L(0)$-graded) $\widehat{\mathfrak{g}}$-submodule of $N(\Lambda_i)$ and

$$
(4.4) \qquad N^1(\Lambda_0) = U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})x_\alpha(-1)^2 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N = U(\mathbb{C}x_{-\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])x_\alpha(-1)^2 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N,
$$

$$
(4.5) \qquad N^1(\Lambda_1) = U(\widehat{\mathfrak{g}})x_\alpha(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N = U(\mathbb{C}x_{-\alpha} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \otimes t^{-1}\mathbb{C}[t^{-1}])x_\alpha(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_1}^N
$$

(cf. [K], [LL]).

For the reader's convenience, we recall the definition of the notion of ideal of a vertex algebra (Definition 3.9.7 in [LL]):

Definition 4.1. An ideal of the vertex algebra V is a subspace I such that for all $v \in V$ and $w \in I$,

$$Y(v, x)w \in I((x)) \tag{4.6}$$

and

$$Y(w, x)v \in I((x)), \tag{4.7}$$

that is, $v_n w \in I$ and $w_n v \in I$ for all $v \in V$, $w \in I$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Remark 4.1. In view of the skew-symmetry property

$$Y(u, x)v = e^{xL(-1)} Y(v, -x)u$$

for $u, v \in V$, under the condition that $L(-1)I \subset I$ the "left-ideal" and "right-ideal" conditions (4.6) and (4.7) are equivalent. In particular, (4.6) and (4.7) are equivalent for a vertex operator algebra (cf. Remark 3.9.8 in [LL]).

Proposition 4.1. *The space $N^1(\Lambda_0)$ is the ideal of the vertex operator algebra $N(\Lambda_0)$ generated by $x_\alpha(-1)^2 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$.*

Proof: By Remark 6.2.24 and Proposition 6.6.17 in [LL] we have that $N^1(\Lambda_0)$ is an ideal of $N(\Lambda_0)$. Since $x_\alpha(-1)^2 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$ belongs to both $N^1(\Lambda_0)$ and the ideal generated by $x_\alpha(-1)^2 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$, and for any $a \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a(m)$ is a component of the vertex operator $Y(a(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N, x)$, the statement follows. $\square$

As in ring theory, we call an ideal of a vertex (operator) algebra generated by one element a *principal* ideal. Thus Proposition 4.1 says that $N^1(\Lambda_0)$ is the principal ideal of $N(\Lambda_0)$ generated by the "null vector" $x_\alpha(-1)^2 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$ (cf. (4.4) for the Lie-algebraic statement).

The restrictions of the maps (2.47) to the principal subspaces $W^N(\Lambda_i)$ are the linear maps π_{Λ_i} (2.49) introduced in Section 2. Thus

$$\operatorname{Ker} \pi_{\Lambda_i} = N^1(\Lambda_i) \cap W^N(\Lambda_i) \tag{4.8}$$

for $i = 0, 1$.

Remark 4.2. We observe that $N^1(\Lambda_0) \cap W^N(\Lambda_0)$ is an ideal of $W^N(\Lambda_0)$. Indeed, since $N^1(\Lambda_0)$ is an ideal and $W^N(\Lambda_0)$ is a vertex subalgebra of $N(\Lambda_0)$,

$$Y(v, x)w \in (N^1(\Lambda_0) \cap W^N(\Lambda_0))((x)) \text{ and } Y(w, x)v \in (N^1(\Lambda_0) \cap W^N(\Lambda_0))((x))$$

for $v \in W^N(\Lambda_0)$ and $w \in N^1(\Lambda_0) \cap W^N(\Lambda_0)$. Also, $N^1(\Lambda_1) \cap W^N(\Lambda_1)$ is a $W^N(\Lambda_0)$-submodule of $W^N(\Lambda_1)$.

The intersection $N^1(\Lambda_0) \cap W^N(\Lambda_0)$, which equals $I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$ by (4.8) and Theorem 2.2, is also a principal ideal, generated by the same null vector:

Proposition 4.2. *We have that $I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$ is the ideal of the vertex algebra $W^N(\Lambda_0)$ generated by $x_\alpha(-1)^2 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$.*

Proof: We denote by I the ideal of $W^N(\Lambda_0)$ generated by $x_\alpha(-1)^2 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$. By (2.21) we have

$$(4.9) \qquad I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N = \sum_{t \geq 2} U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-) R_t^0 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N,$$

and this space contains $x_\alpha(-1)^2 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$.

We first show the inclusion

$$(4.10) \qquad I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \subset I.$$

Since $x_\alpha(-1)^2 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \in I$ and I is an ideal of $W^N(\Lambda_0)$ we have

$$Y(x_\alpha(-1)^2 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N, x) v_{\Lambda_0}^N \in I((x)).$$

But since

$$(4.11) \qquad Y(x_\alpha(-1)^2 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N, x) = \sum_{t \in \mathbb{Z}} \left(\sum_{m_1 + m_2 = -t} x_\alpha(m_1) x_\alpha(m_2) \right) x^{-t-2}$$

we see that

$$(4.12) \qquad R_t^0 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \in I$$

for each $t \geq 2$. Finally, since each $x_\alpha(m)$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, is a component of the vertex operator $Y(x_\alpha(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N, x)$, the inclusion (4.10) holds.

It remains only to show that $I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$ is an ideal of $W^N(\Lambda_0)$. We first observe that the operator $L(-1)$ preserves $I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$. Indeed, by using (4.1), (4.2) and (4.11) we obtain

$$(4.13) \qquad L(-1)(R_t^0 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N) = (t-1) R_{t+1}^0 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \in I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$$

for any $t \geq 2$. More generally, from (4.3), for any $m_1, \ldots, m_r \geq 1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
L(-1)&(x_\alpha(-m_1) \cdots x_\alpha(-m_r) R_t^0 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{r} m_j x_\alpha(-m_1) \cdots x_\alpha(-m_j - 1) \cdots x_\alpha(-m_r) R_t^0 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \\
&\quad + (t-1) x_\alpha(-m_1) \cdots x_\alpha(-m_r) R_{t+1}^0 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N \in I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N,
\end{aligned}$$

and this shows that

$$(4.14) \qquad L(-1)(I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N) \subset I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$$

(recall (4.9)).

In view of Remark 4.1 and (4.14), in order to prove that $I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$ is an ideal of $W^N(\Lambda_0)$ it is enough to show that $v_n w \in I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$ for any $v \in W^N(\Lambda_0) = U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}_-) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$, $w \in I_{\Lambda_0} \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. This will follow once we show that $v_n w \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}}) w$.

To do this, note that v is a linear combination of monomials in $x_\alpha(-m)$, with each $m \geq 1$, applied to $v_{\Lambda_0}^N$. We first assume that $v = v_{\Lambda_0}^N$. Then

$$(4.15) \qquad Y(v, x) w = Y(v_{\Lambda_0}^N, x) w = w$$

(since $Y(v_{\Lambda_0}^N, x)$ is the identity operator), so that $v_n w \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})w$. Now we assume that v is a linear combination of elements $x_\alpha(-m_1) \cdots x_\alpha(-m_r) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$ with $m_1, \ldots, m_r \geq 1$, which we write as $x_\alpha(-m_1)u$ for $u = x_\alpha(-m_2) \cdots x_\alpha(-m_r) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$. Since

$$x_\alpha(-m_1)u = (x_\alpha(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N)_{-m_1}u,$$

the iterate formula (cf. formula (3.1.11), and more specifically, formula (3.8.12), in [LL]) gives

$$(4.16) \qquad Y(v,x)w = Y\left((x_\alpha(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N)_{-m_1}u, x\right)w$$

$$= \frac{1}{(m_1-1)!}\left(\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{m_1-1} Y(x_\alpha(-1) \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N, x)\right) Y(u,x)w$$

$$= \frac{1}{(m_1-1)!}\left(\left(\frac{d}{dx}\right)^{m_1-1} \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} x_\alpha(j)x^{-j-1}\right) Y(u,x)w.$$

Now (4.16) and induction on $r \geq 0$ imply that $v_n w \in U(\bar{\mathfrak{n}})w$, completing the proof. $\quad\square$

Using the notation $(v)_V$ for the ideal generated by an element v of a vertex (operator) algebra V, we have succeeded in reformulating (2.51) in Theorem 2.2 as follows:

Theorem 4.1. *We have*

$$(4.17) \qquad \mathrm{Ker}\,\pi_{\Lambda_0} = (x_\alpha(-1)^2 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N)_{N(\Lambda_0)} \cap W^N(\Lambda_0) = (x_\alpha(-1)^2 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N)_{W^N(\Lambda_0)}.$$

In particular, the intersection with the vertex subalgebra $W^N(\Lambda_0)$ of the principal ideal of $N(\Lambda_0)$ generated by the null vector $x_\alpha(-1)^2 \cdot v_{\Lambda_0}^N$ coincides with the principal ideal of the vertex subalgebra $W^N(\Lambda_0)$ generated by the same null vector.

References

[A]   G. Andrews, *The Theory of Partitions*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, Vol. 2, Addison-Wesley, 1976.

[B]   R. E. Borcherds, Vertex algebras, Kac-Moody algebras and the Monster, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **83** (1986), 3068-3071.

[Cal]   C. Calinescu, Interwting vertex operators and certain representations of $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}(n)}$, *Comm. in Contemp. Math.*, to appear.

[CalLM1] C. Calinescu, J. Lepowsky and A. Milas, Vertex-algebraic structure of the principal subspaces of certain $A_1^{(1)}$-modules, II: higher level case, to appear.

[CalLM2] C. Calinescu, J. Lepowsky and A. Milas, Vertex-algebraic structure of certain subspaces of level one $A_2^{(2)}$-modules, in preparation.

[CalLM3] C. Calinescu, J. Lepowsky and A. Milas, Vertex-algebraic structure of principal subspaces of certain modules for affine Lie algebras, in preparation.

[CLM1]   S. Capparelli, J. Lepowsky and A. Milas, The Rogers-Ramanujan recursion and intertwining operators, *Comm. in Contemp. Math.* **5** (2003), 947-966; arXiv:math.QA/0211265.

[CLM2]   S. Capparelli, J. Lepowsky and A. Milas, The Rogers-Selberg recursions, the Gordon-Andrews identities and intertwining operators, *The Ramanujan Journal* **12** (2006), 379-397; arXiv:math.QA/0310080.

[DL]   C. Dong and J. Lepowsky, *Generalized Vertex Algebras and Relative Vertex Operators*, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 112, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1993.

[FS1]   B. Feigin and A. Stoyanovsky, Quasi-particles models for the representations of Lie algebras and geometry of flag manifold; arXiv:hep-th/9308079.

[FS2]   B. Feigin and A. Stoyanovsky, Functional models for representations of current algebras and semi-infinite Schubert cells (Russian), *Funktsional Anal. i Prilozhen.* **28** (1994), 68-90; translation in: *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **28** (1994), 55-72.

[FS3]   B. Feigin and A. Stoyanovsky, Realization of the modular functor in the space of differentials and the geometric approximation of the moduli space of G-bundles (Russian), *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.* **28** (1994), 42-65; translation in: *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **28** (1994), 257-275.

[FHL]   I. Frenkel, Y.-Z. Huang and J. Lepowsky, On axiomatic approaches to vertex operators and modules, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **104**, 1993.

[FK]   I. Frenkel and V. Kac, Basic representations of affine Lie algebras and dual resonance models, *Invent. Math.* **62** (1980/81), 23-66.

[FLM]   I. Frenkel, J. Lepowsky and A. Meurman, *Vertex Operator Algebras and the Monster*, Pure and Appl. Math., Vol. 134, Academic Press, New York, 1988.

[FZ]   I. Frenkel and Y. Zhu, Vertex operator algebras associated to representations of affine and Virasoro algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **66** (1992), 123-168.

[GL]   H. Garland and J. Lepowsky, Lie algebra homology and the Macdonald-Kac formulas, *Invent. Math.* **34** (1976), 37-76.

[G1]   G. Georgiev, Combinatorial constructions of modules for infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, I. Principal subspace, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **112** (1996), 247-286; arXiv:hep-th/9412054.

[G2]   G. Georgiev, Combinatorial constructions of modules for infinite-dimensional Lie algebras, II. Parafermionic space; arXiv:math.QA/9504024.

[K]   V. Kac, *Infinite Dimensional Lie Algebras*, 3rd edition, Cambridge University Press, 1990.

[L1]   J. Lepowsky, Existence of conical vectors in induced modules, *Annals of Math.* **102** (1975), 17-40.

[L2]   J. Lepowsky, Generalized Verma modules, loop space cohomology and Macdonald-type identities, *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup.* **12** (1979), 169-234.

[LL]   J. Lepowsky and H. Li, *Introduction to Vertex Operator Algebras and Their Representations*, Progress in Mathematics, Vol. 227, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.

[LM]   J. Lepowsky and S. Milne, Lie algebraic approaches to classical partition identities, *Adv. in Math.* **29** (1978), 15-59.

[LP1]   J. Lepowsky and M. Primc, Standard modules for type one affine algebras, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **1052** (1984) 194-251.

[LP2]   J. Lepowsky and M. Primc, Structure of the standard modules for the affine algebra $A_1^{(1)}$, *Contemp. Math.* **46**, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1985.

[LW1]   J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson, Construction of the affine Lie algebra $A_1^{(1)}$, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **62** (1978) 43-53.

[LW2]   J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson, A new family of algebras underlying the Rogers-Ramanujan identities, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA* **78** (1981), 7254-7258.

[LW3]   J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson, The structure of standard modules, I: Universal algebras and the Rogers-Ramanujan identities, *Invent. Math.* **77** (1984), 199-290.

[LW4]   J. Lepowsky and R. L. Wilson, The structure of standard modules, II: The case $A_1^{(1)}$, principal gradation, *Invent. Math.* **79** (1985), 417-442.

[Li]   H. Li, Local systems of vertex operators, vertex superalgebras and modules, *J. Algebra* **109** (1996), 143-195; arXiv:math.QA/9504022.

[MP1]   A. Meurman and M. Primc, Annihilating ideals of standard modules of $\widetilde{sl(2,\mathbb{C})}$ and combinatorial identities, *Adv. in Math.* **64** (1987), 177-240.

[MP2]   A. Meurman and M. Primc, Annihilating fields of standard modules of $\widetilde{sl(2,\mathbb{C})}$ and combinatorial identities, *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **137** (1999); arXiv:math.QA/9806105.

[S]   G. Segal, Unitary representations of some infinite-dimensional groups, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **80** (1981), 301-342.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854

Current address:

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OHIO STATE UNIVERSITY, COLUMBUS, OH 43210

E–mail address: calinescu@math.ohio-state.edu
On leave from the Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy.

Department of Mathematics, Rutgers University, Piscataway, NJ 08854
E–mail address: lepowsky@math.rutgers.edu

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University at Albany (SUNY), Albany, NY 12222
E–mail address: amilas@math.albany.edu